## **TWO-WAY COUPLED EULER-EULER SIMULATIONS OF PARTICLE-LADEN FLOWS**

ZIAD BOUTANIOS<sup>1</sup>, HRVOJE JASAK<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>FAMENA, University of Zagreb ziad.boutanios@fsb.hr <sup>2</sup>FAMENA, University of Zagreb hrvoje.jasak@fsb.hr

Keywords: drifting snow, euler-euler, suspension, saltation, sediment, two-way coupling

A novel two-way coupled Eulerian-Eulerian CFD formulation for simulating particle-laden flows is presented. It is based on a new viscous model of the particle phase, and turbulent dispersion through a turbulent drag term in the phase-averaged momentum equations as detailed by [1]. This approach allows explicit resolution of both saltation and suspension layers without resorting to empiricism, unlike other one-way coupled Eulerian-Eulerian approaches based on mixture formulations using the convection-diffusion particle transport equation, or the Volume of Fluid method. Successful validations are carried out against detailed measurements from controlled experiments of drifting snow and sediment suspension, by [2]. The present two-way coupled approach is found capable of accurately predicting snowflux and airflow profiles as shown in Figure 1. Comparison is also made to the results of a one-way coupled method by [3] based on the convection-diffusion equation for transport of solid sediment. Both approaches are used for simulating an experiment by [4] of sediment suspension in a laboratory flume. The two-way coupled approach is shown capable of accurately predicting both sediment concentration and water velocity profiles, more accurately than the one-way coupled approach as shown in Figure 2. In Figure 3, the present two-way coupled approach is also shown capable of accurately predicting the sediment fall velocity and wall effect, without the need for the empirical relationships used for the one-way coupled approach that predict a constant sediment fall velocity throughout the entire computational domain.



Figure 1: Comparison of the two-way coupled approach numerical profiles of snowflux (left) and non-dimensional airflow velocity (right), to the experimental measurement at the X = 9m measurement station, for an average particle size of 0.6mm.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professors Mochida, Okaze and Tominaga for kindly sharing their drifting snow experimental data.

## References

- [1] Z. Boutanios and H. Jasak, "Two-way coupled eulerian-eulerian simulations of drifting snow with viscous treatment of the snow phase," *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, vol. 169, 10 2017.
- [2] T. Okaze, A. Mochida, Y. Tominaga, M. Nemoto, T. Sato, Y.Sasaki, and K. Ichinohe, "Wind tunnel investigation of drifting snow development in a boundary layer," J. Wind Eng. Ind. Aerodyn., vol. 104-106, pp. 532–539, 2012.
- [3] A. Sattar, H. Jasak, and V. Skuric, "Three dimensional modeling of free surface flow and sediment transport with bed deformation using automatic mesh motion," *Environmental Modelling & Software*, vol. 97, 11 2017.
- [4] Z. Wang and J. Ribberink, "The validity of a depth-integrated model for suspended sediment transport," *J. Hydr. Res.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 53–66, 1986.



Figure 2: Comparison of the numerical profiles of sediment volume fraction (left) and non-dimensional water velocity (right), of the two-way coupled approach (Euler-Euler) numerical profiles to the one-way coupled approach numerical profiles (sedFoam), and the experimental measurement at the X = 600cm and X = 300cm measurement stations.



Figure 3: Comparison of the numerical profile of sediment fall velocity as calculated using the two-way coupled approach, to the average of the experimental fall velocity at the X = 300 cm measurement station.