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ABSTRACT

The fully-coupled aero-hydrodynamic simulations of a floating offshore wind turbine consisting of a NREL-5MW baseline wind turbine and a semi-submersible floating platform are conducted. The three-dimensional Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations are solved for the coupled aero-hydrodynamic numerical simulation. The in-house code naoe-FOAM-SJTU, which is based on OpenFOAM and overset grid technology and developed for ship and ocean engineering, is employed. Aerodynamic loads on wind turbine are predicted. With the directly viscous simulations, detailed flow information around the turbine blades is available. The coupling effect on the aerodynamics of wind turbine from the platform motion is investigated.
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INTRODUCTION

Floating offshore wind turbine (FOWT) has become more attractive as a type of wind energy absorption device in recent years. Due to the additional motion induced by supporting platform, the aerodynamics of the turbine blades turns more complex and unsteady. Accurate prediction of aerodynamic loads and performance of FOWT with coupling effect of floating platform motion becomes one common challenge in the designing of FOWT. Aerodynamic performance of wind turbine operating in uniform inflow wind condition has been well researched. However, the aerodynamics of a FOWT is much more complicated. Tower shadow effects (Dolan, 2006) and shear wind effect (Thiringer, 2001) both lead to periodical oscillations on aerodynamic forces of wind turbine and asymmetry of the wake flow. And the motion of the floating support platform also induces variation of aerodynamics with motion period (Tran, 2016; Vaal, 2014). To accurately predict the aerodynamics of a floating offshore wind turbine, the fully coupled aero-hydrodynamic simulations should be conducted instead of simplified models.

To meet the technique requirement for FOWT design, fully coupled aero-hydrodynamic simulation solvers for FOWTs have been developed. In earlier studies, most numerical tools for coupling simulation of FOWT are developed based on blade element momentum (BEM) method for aerodynamic simulation and potential flow theory for hydrodynamic computation (Cordle, 2010). As BEM is an empirical method, these solvers achieve quite efficiency computations. However, some researchers (Sebastian, 2013) suggested that the BEM is still questionable in unsteady aerodynamic prediction for FOWTS with various correction models (such as Glauert correction, skewed wake correction, etc.). Additionally, the hydrodynamic simulation based on potential flow theory restricts the usage for more accurate predictions with the viscous effect. With rapid development of compute technology and computing methods, fully coupled studies have been conducted with CFD method are conducted. Tran (2015) has conducted the fully coupled aero-hydrodynamic analysis of a semi-submersible FOWT using a dynamic fluid body interaction approach with Star CCM+ software combined with overset grid technique. Based on OpenFOAM package, Liu (2017) established a fully coupled CFD analysis tool for FOWTs and studied the coupling effect of the OC4 DeepCWind semi-submersible FOWT.

With fully coupled aero-hydrodynamic simulation of a FOWT, this paper mainly pay attention to the analysis of the coupling effect between the aerodynamics of turbine blades and the hydrodynamic motions of the supporting platform. The fully-coupled aero-hydrodynamic simulations of a floating offshore wind turbine consisting of the NREL-5MW baseline wind turbine and a semi-submersible floating platform are conducted. The three-dimensional Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations are solved for the coupled aero-hydrodynamic numerical simulation. The in-house code naoe-FOAM-SJTU is employed, which is based on OpenFOAM and overset grid technology and developed for ship and ocean engineering. The aerodynamic (Cheng, 2016) and hydrodynamic (Cheng, 2015) validations of naoe-FOAM-SJTU are done in our previous work, which show the reliability of this solver. With directly viscous simulations, detailed flow information around the turbine blades is available. The coupling effect on the aerodynamics of wind turbine from the platform motion is investigated.
NUMERICAL METHOD

naoe-FOAM-SJTU Solver

Based on the open source CFD platform, the in-house code naoe-FOAM-SJTU solver (Cao, 2014) is designed for computing viscous flows of ships and ocean structures. It inherits the data structure and CFD libraries in OpenFOAM, such as FVM, RANS, VOF and PISO algorithm. The two-phase incompressible RANS equations are solved in this solver. The governing equations are discretized with Finite Volume Method (FVM) which is capable to handle arbitrary polyhedral cells. The interface between two phases is captured using a VOF method with bounded compression technique. The turbulence models of k-ε SST and k-ω can be used for turbulence closure. The pressure-velocity coupling equations are solved by Pressure-Implicit with Splitting of Operations (PISO) algorithm. Based on the above, a numerical tank system including wave generation and absorption module is built up, six-degree-of-freedom (6DOF) motion module is developed, and finally the mooring system module is established (Fig.1).

The wave generation system is able to use inlet boundary to generate first order regular waves and higher order nonlinear waves, transient extreme waves and even freak waves. The sponge layer for wave absorption is adopted to avoid wave reflection. The mooring system module is built for hydrodynamic analysis of floating offshore floating platforms. It inherits the data structure and the above equations, the two-equation turbulence model k-ω SST (Menter, 1994) is employed, and the turbulent kinetic energy k and the turbulent dissipation rate ω can be described as:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho u_i k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\Gamma_k \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j}) + G_k - Y_k + S_k
\]  

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \omega) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho u_i \omega) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\Gamma_\omega \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j}) + G_\omega - Y_\omega + D_\omega + S_\omega
\]  

The wave generation system is able to use inlet boundary to generate first order regular waves and higher order nonlinear waves, transient extreme waves and even freak waves. The sponge layer for wave absorption is adopted to avoid wave reflection. The mooring system module is built for hydrodynamic analysis of floating offshore floating platforms. It inherits the data structure and the above equations, the two-equation turbulence model k-ω SST (Menter, 1994) is employed, and the turbulent kinetic energy k and the turbulent dissipation rate ω can be described as:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho u_i k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\Gamma_k \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j}) + G_k - Y_k + S_k
\]  

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \omega) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho u_i \omega) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\Gamma_\omega \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x_j}) + G_\omega - Y_\omega + D_\omega + S_\omega
\]  

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho f) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho f u_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(\Gamma_f \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}) + G_f - Y_f + D_f + S_f
\]  

In the above equations, \(\Gamma_k\) and \(\Gamma_\omega\) are the effective diffusion coefficients, \(G_k\) and \(G_\omega\) are turbulence generation terms, \(Y_k\) and \(Y_\omega\) are turbulent dissipation terms, \(S_k\) and \(S_\omega\) are the source term, \(D_\omega\) is the cross-diffusion term for \(\omega\).

Overset Grid Technique

Using overset grid technique, the separated overlapping grids for each part with independent movement are allowed, which makes it powerful in simulating large amplitude motion problems. And the information transformation between each grid domain is built by interpolation at appropriate cells or points using DCI (domain connectivity information) which is produced by SUGGAR++ (Carrica, 2010). There are four main steps when using DCI in the overset grid technique: The first step is to pick out the hole cells which are located outside the simulation domain or of no interest, and exclude them from computation. As shown in Fig.2, in overset grid, there exist series of fringe cells around hole cells, and for each fringe cell there are several donor cells which receive information from the donor cells, so the next step is to search the donor cells of each fringe cell and provide information from the donor grids. The third step is to obtain the value of a variable \(\phi\) of the fringe cell by interpolation from the donor cells with:

\[
\phi_j = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_i \cdot \phi_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{g} \omega_i = 1
\]  

Where \(\phi_i\) is the value of a variable \(\phi\) of the fringe cell, \(\phi_i\) is the value for the \(i^{th}\) donor cell, \(\omega_i\) is the dimensionless weight coefficient. And the last step is to optimize the overlapping area and improve the accuracy of interpolation.

GEOMETRY MODEL
The semi-submersible floating offshore wind turbine

In the present simulation work, a semi-submersible floating offshore wind turbine (FOWT) system, Phase II of OC4 project, is adopted. The FOWT contains several main parts: a wind turbine (the NREL 5-MW baseline wind turbine (Jonkman, 2009)), a tower connecting wind turbine and platform, a supporting floating platform (semi-submersible platform (Robertson, 2012)), and the mooring system. Fig.3 shows the sketch of this FOWT system, and Table.1 lists the basic properties.

Fig.3 Phase II of OC4 Floating Offshore Wind Turbine System

Table.1 Specification of the Phase II of OC4 FOWT System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Orientation, Configuration</td>
<td>Upwind, 3 Blades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor, Hub Diameter</td>
<td>126 m, 3 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hub Height</td>
<td>90 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor Mass</td>
<td>110,000 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nacelle Mass</td>
<td>240,000 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tower Mass</td>
<td>347,460 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate Location of CM (rotor,</td>
<td>(-0.2 m, 0.0 m, 64.0 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nacelle and tower)</td>
<td>Total draft of platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Mass</td>
<td>1.347E7 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate Location of CM (platform)</td>
<td>(0.0 m, 0.0 m, -13.46 m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Mooring Lines</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle Between Adjacent Lines</td>
<td>120°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth to Anchors/Fairleads Below</td>
<td>200m, 14m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWL</td>
<td>837.6m, 40.868m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius to Anchors/Fairleads from</td>
<td>835.5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform Centerline</td>
<td>0.0766m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstretched Mooring Line Length</td>
<td>7.536E+8N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mooring Line Diameter</td>
<td>113.35kg/m, 108.63kg/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table.2 Structural Properties of the FOWT System

| Structural mass                     | 1.407E7 kg                         |
| CM location below SWL               | 9.9376m                            |
| Total structure roll inertia about  | 1.1E10 kg*m²                        |
| CM                                 | 1.1E10 kg*m²                        |
| Total structure yaw inertia about   | 1.226E10 kg*m²                      |
| CM                                 |                                     |

The wind turbine in Phase II of OC4 FOWT system is NERL 5-MW baseline line wind turbine, which is a conventional three-bladed, upwind, variable-speed wind turbine. The floating support platform is a semi-submersible floating system which consists of a main column attached to the tower, three offset columns covering significant portion of buoyancy, a couple of smaller diameter pontoons and cross braces to link the main column and offset columns and to strengthen the structure. The semi-submersible floating system for Phase II of OC4 is moored with three catenary lines spread symmetrically about the platform Z-axis.

Setup of Simulation Domain

The optimum domain should be large enough to avoid boundary effects. Since the numerical simulations are conducted under the regular wave with wave length of 156.13m, the domain is set as cuboid with X (-200m~400m), Y (-150m~150m), Z (-100m~240m), as shown in Fig.4. As the overset grid technique is utilized, three grid systems are generated respectively. The green color in Fig.4 represents for the background mesh covering the whole simulation domain, which is refined along the wave surface to reach grid density requirement for surface capture and also refined near the other two mesh system for better interpolation. The yellow cylinder sub-domain contains the wind turbine including turbine blades, nacelle and hub. In this paper, all the solid structures are treated as rigid body, so the red part of grid is generated with the platform and tower.

Fig.4 Simulation Domain

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the present work, the fully coupled aero-hydrodynamics of a FOWT in coupling wave-wind conditions are investigated. The FOWT operate in regular heading wave with wave height of 4m and wave length of 156.13m, coupled with constant 8m/s inflow wind in which case the turbine rotating speed is a fixed value of 9.2rpm. The coupling effect between the hydrodynamic motions of floating wind turbine and the aerodynamic loads on wind turbine makes the dynamic performance of FOWT quite complicated.

Dynamic motions of floating platform

The main difference between a floating offshore wind turbine and a conventional floating platform is the existence of the wind turbine. In regular heading waves, a moored bare platform with symmetrically distributed mooring lines oscillates with wave period in three main degrees of freedom (DOFs): surge, heave and pitch, while the motions in other DOFs are negligible. This oscillations result from the periodic wave loads on the floating platform, and the equilibrium position for oscillating motions are determined where the total forces of mooring
lines counterbalances the quadratic composition of hydrodynamic forces. But for a FOWT, the rotating wind turbine provides an additional thrust. This thrust is negligible compared to the periodic hydrodynamic wave forces on the platform. However, it is too large to be neglected compared with the averaged mooring forces in the initial equilibrium position. So the equilibrium position moves forward to find a new force balancing situation.

The surge curve in Fig. 5 (a) shows an sinusoidal motion with equilibrium position located around X=8.9m, which is no more than 2m away from the initial center of mooring system in bare platform cases. This oscillating motion of floating platform is transferred to turbine blades through the tower, which results in the variation of the relative velocity near turbine blades. The rotating turbine rotor acts as an appendage part of the whole floating offshore wind turbine. So the additional pitching moment from aerodynamics of turbine blades is computed by integral the moments on each blade surface cell to the rotating center. As the time history of pitch motion shown in Fig. 5 (b), this additional pitching moment plays a similar role in the pitching motion just as the additional thrust force does in surging motion DOF. Similar conclusions are drawn for the pitching motion. However, it’s worth noting that the phase difference between pitch motion and surge motion is around 180deg.

A bottom-fixed wind turbine rotating in uniform wind is supposed to be subject to periodically varying aerodynamic forces considering the tower effect. The period of the varying forces is 1/3 of the rotating period for this 3-bladed wind turbine. In this simulation, the rotational period is 6.52s, so about 4.5 cycles of varying thrust during one wave period is indicated in Fig. 6. The minimum value is captured when one blade rotating to the right upstream of the tower. The wind turbine mounted on the floating platform operates with an additional motion transferred from the support platform, which is described in the last paragraph. The additional motion speed caused by platform surge is in the range of -0.45m/s-0.45m/s on the whole turbine structure. Nevertheless, the additional velocity on blades surface resulting from pitch motion round the rotating center varies 10m below the still water surface linearly varies with the distance to the rotating center, which is calculated by multiplying the pitching angular velocity and the distance from the calculated cell to the rotating center. The range of angular speed is -0.42deg-0.42deg, the distance range of turbine blades is 37m-163m, so the additional velocity caused by pitch motion on top of rotor varies within range of -1.19m/s-1.19m/s, while the lowest point in the rotating plane varies within: -0.27m/s-0.27m/s. What is noticeable is that the phase difference between surge and pitch has reached 180deg, in which case surge and pitch motion have opposite effects on the additional velocity of turbine structure. So fortunately, coupling these two key factors doesn’t result in significant variation on the aerodynamic thrust but offsets it to a certain extent.

The time history of aerodynamic thrust is plotted in Fig. 6. The aerodynamic thrust curve show oscillating regularity with wave period. During 223s-228s, turbine blades pitches towards downstream while surges towards -x direction, the additional motion induced with surge has the positive effect to increase the relative flow speed and the pitch motion has the negative effect. Nevertheless, the aerodynamic thrust during this half period is lower than the average value, which illustrate that the pitch motion plays the dominant role in this operating condition. In the following half period during 228s-233s, the aerodynamic thrust during decrease below the average value while turbine blades moves in the opposite direction.

Aerodynamic forces on wind turbine

Flow field around wind turbine is disturbed by the rotating turbine blades. Initial flow velocity drives the disturbed flow moving downstream with interaction with the undisturbed flow. For a rotor-fixed wind turbine rotating with fixed speed in uniform wind field, the wake flow should be helical symmetry. However, the wake flow for a FOWT is much more complicated due to the non-uniform wind, the tower effect, and the additional motion aligned to the floating platform. Fig. 7-9 show the flow velocity distributions during one wave period in the longitudinal section, and Fig. 10-12 show the flow velocity distributions in a tilted transverse section which overlaps with the rotating plane of turbine blades at equilibrium pitching position. The flow field is colored with value of velocity component, the platform surface is colored with pressure value, and the interface between air and wave is visualized with a blue line. The pressure on the platform surface increases rapidly with water depth as illustrated in those figures. Velocity distribution of flow velocity component Ux indicates that the
flow velocity decreases significantly while passing through the turbine rotating plane. It is also noticed that the velocity decrease is more prominent behind the tower. Even on the cross section about 5m ahead of tower, the variation of flow velocity is also captured as a green vertical band region in Fig.10. The tower effect is also noticed in the U_y component in Fig.11 with a light blue band on right side and a light orange band on left side below the rotor center.

The U_y and U_z components for inflow wind are both set as zero, but the rotating turbine blades induce the U_y and U_z of surrounding flow significantly. As the velocity increases from turbine center to blade tip, the induced flow velocity grows rapidly as illustrated in Fig.11-12. The +y direction points from right to left, and the wind turbine rotates in clockwise direction, so the value of induced U_y component is supposed to be negative at in the flow field above the rotor center, and be positive in the below region. However, besides the dark blue regions, there are also red and orange regions which represent positive U_y value. This confusion can be explained with the U_y distribution in Fig.13-14, which shows the flow velocity distribution surrounding the blade in section#1 and section#2. Section#1 and section#2 are located on the same blade with different distance to the rotor center as shown in the third figure in Fig.12. Because of the asymmetry of the airfoil, when the airfoil rotates through flow, the velocity distribution becomes asymmetric. And the induced velocity on the downstream side is positive, while flow velocity near leading edge and trailing edge is negative. The initial value of velocity component U_z is also set as zero in the whole flow field, so similar regularities are got.

Because the flow velocity component U_y and U_z are induced with the rotating motion of turbine blades, so the magnitude of induced velocity increases rapidly with towards the blades tip. While the most significant motions aligned with platform, which is surge and pitch in this simulation, mainly affects the relative velocity component U_x. As discussed above, the pitch motion plays the predominant role in the motion of blades section. In the first figure and the last figure in Fig.7 when turbine surge back in –X direction and pitch forward along +x direction, velocity increase is observed in the flow field near the downstream surface of the top blade and the value gets larger as height grows.

Fig.7 Distribution of the flow velocity component U_x on a longitudinal section across turbine center during one wave period
Fig. 8 Distribution of the flow velocity component $U_y$ on a longitudinal section across turbine center during one wave period

Fig. 9 Distribution of the flow velocity component $U_z$ on a longitudinal section across turbine center during one wave period
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$t_3 = 226.25\text{s}$  
$t_4 = 227.5\text{s}$  
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$t_7 = 231.25\text{s}$  
$t_8 = 232.5\text{s}$  

Fig. 10 Distribution of the flow velocity component $U_x$ on a cross section across turbine center during one wave period
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$t_8 = 232.5\text{s}$
Fig. 11 Distribution of the flow velocity component $U_y$ on a cross section across turbine center during one wave period.

Fig. 12 Distribution of the flow velocity component $U_z$ on a cross section across turbine center during one wave period.

Fig. 13 Distribution of the flow velocity components on section #1.

Fig. 14 Distribution of the flow velocity components on section #2.
CONCLUSIONS

The fully-coupled aero-hydrodynamic simulation of NREL-5MW baseline wind turbine mounted on a semi-submersible floating platform is conducted. The in-house code naoe-FOAM-SJTU solver, based on OpenFOAM and coupled with overset grid technology, is employed. The three-dimensional Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations are solved, coupling with the k-ω SST turbulence model. The additional aerodynamic forces on turbine blades mainly affect the equilibrium position of the FOWT system in surge and pitch DOFs. Both periodic surge and pitch motions of blades in sync with platform results in the variations of the relative flow velocity nearby blades surface. But the phase difference between the motions is almost 180deg, in which case surge and pitch motion have opposite effects on the additional velocity of turbine structure. So coupling these two key factors doesn’t result in significant variation on the aerodynamic thrust but offsets it to a certain extent. And in this simulation case, the pitch motion effect plays the predominant role. Detailed flow information also clarifies the flow filed and indicates the coupling effects. The rotating motion of rotor blades induces rotational velocity of surrounding flow. The additional surge and pitch motion aligned with platform mainly affects the relative velocity component U in the surrounding flow field. The tower effect and the additional motions make the wake flow field more complicated.
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